

XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
ANTHONY R. HAKL
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
NOREEN P. SKELLY
Deputy Attorney General
State Bar No. 186135
1300 I Street, Suite 125
P.O. Box 944255
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
Telephone: (916) 210-6057
Fax: (916) 324-8835
E-mail: Noreen.Skelly@doj.ca.gov
*Attorneys for Defendant Attorney General Xavier
Becerra*

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MICHAEL ZELENY, an individual,

Plaintiff,

v.

**GAVIN NEWSOM¹, an individual, in his
official capacity; XAVIER BECERRA, an
individual, in his official capacity; CITY OF
MENLO PARK, a municipal corporation;
and DAVE BERTINI, in his official
capacity,**

Defendants.

3:17-cv-07357 RS (NC)

**DEFENDANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
XAVIER BECERRA'S RESPONSES TO
PLAINTIFF MICHAEL ZELENY'S
FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES²**

PROPOUNDING PARTY: Plaintiff Michael Zeleny

ANSWERING PARTY: Defendant Attorney General Xavier Becerra

SET NUMBER: One

¹ Although Edmund G. Brown, Jr., sued in his official capacity as the Governor of California, has been dismissed from this matter, Defendant Becerra updates the caption to substitute Governor Gavin Newsom for former Governor Edmund G. Brown, Jr., pursuant to Rule 25(d)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

² Verification to follow.

Legislature considered including other forms of “speech or expressive conduct” in enacting Penal Code §§ 26375 and 26405, subdivision (r). Thus, Defendant Becerra is unable to respond to this interrogatory.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9: Identify all documents bearing upon, supporting, or reflecting the reasons set forth in Your response to the preceding interrogatory.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Defendant Becerra incorporates by reference the above-stated general objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant Becerra objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is vague and overbroad, and unduly burdensome. Moreover, it seeks information irrelevant to Plaintiff Zeleny’s claims, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of information that is relevant to Plaintiff’s claims.

Subject to, and without waiving the foregoing objections, Defendant Becerra responds as follows: N/A.

INTERROGATORY NO. 10: Does the phrase “authorized participant” as used in California Penal Code §§ 26375 and 26405(r) refer to a participant authorized by a governmental body or agency?

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Defendant Becerra incorporates by reference the above-stated general objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant Becerra objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is vague and overbroad. Moreover, it seeks information irrelevant to Plaintiff Zeleny’s claims, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of information that is relevant to Plaintiff’s claims.

Subject to, and without waiving the foregoing objections, Defendant Becerra responds as follows: Penal Code §§ 26375 and 26405, subdivision (r) do not include definitions of the phrase “authorized participant.”

However, according to the Legislative history of Penal Code § 26375, that section permits the use of unloaded handguns as an “entertainment props.” (See DOJ 000219) Additionally, the Entertainment Firearms Permit only authorizes the permit holder “to possess firearms loaned to

the permitholder for use solely as a prop in a motion picture, television, video, theatrical, or other entertainment production or event.” (Penal Code § 29500.) Thus, the exceptions set forth in Penal Code §§ 26375 and 26405, subdivision (r) are available only to those using unloaded firearms loaned to them for use as “entertainment props” in a motion picture, television, video, theatrical, or other entertainment production or event.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11: If Your answer to Interrogatory No. 10 is in the affirmative, identify the governmental bodies or agencies from which authorization is required?

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Defendant Becerra incorporates by reference the above-stated general objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant Becerra objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is vague and overbroad, and unduly burdensome. Moreover, it seeks information irrelevant to Plaintiff Zeleny’s claims, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of information that is relevant to Plaintiff’s claims.

Subject to, and without waiving the foregoing objections, Defendant Becerra responds as follows: **N/A.**

INTERROGATORY NO. 12: If Your answer to Interrogatory No. 10 is in the affirmative, state all bases for your contention that the phrase “authorized participant,” as used in California Penal Code §§ 26375 and 26405(r), refers to a participant authorized by a governmental body or agency?

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

Defendant Becerra incorporates by reference the above-stated general objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant Becerra objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is vague and overbroad, and unduly burdensome. Moreover, it seeks information irrelevant to Plaintiff Zeleny’s claims, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of information that is relevant to Plaintiff’s claims.

Subject to, and without waiving the foregoing objections, Defendant Becerra responds as follows: **N/A.**

1 Dated: April 3, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

2
3 XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
4 ANTHONY R. HAKL
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

5 

6
7 NOREEN P. SKELLY
Deputy Attorney General
8 *Attorneys for Defendant Attorney General*
Xavier Becerra

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY OVERNIGHT COURIER

Case Name: **Zeleny, Michael v. Edmund G. Brown, et al.**

No.: **3:17-cv-07357 RS (NC)**

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter; my business address is: 1300 I Street, Suite 125, P.O. Box 944255, Sacramento, CA 94244-2550.

On April 3, 2019, I served the attached **DEFENDANT ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER BECERRA'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF MICHAEL ZELENY'S FIRSTS SET OF INTERROGATORIES** by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with the **Golden State Overnight**, addressed as follows:

David William Affeld
Damion D. D. Robinson
Affeld Grivakes LLP
2049 Century Park East, Suite 2460
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Tel: (310) 979-8700
Fax: (310) 979-8701
Email: dwa@agzlaw.com
dr@agzlaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Todd H. Master
Howard Rome Martin & Ridley LLP
1900 O'Farrell Street, Suite 280
San Mateo, CA 94403
Tel: (650) 365-7715
Fax: (650) 364-5297
Email: tmaster@hrmrlaw.com
*Attorneys for Defendants City of Menlo Park
and Dave Bertini*

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on April 3, 2019, at Sacramento, California.

Eileen A. Ennis
Declarant


Signature